

CONFIDENTIAL

OS REGISTRY
FILE *See 18*

11 June 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: SA to the DCI for Compartmentation

FROM:

COCOMP Staff

SUBJECT: DCI Committee on Compartmentation Meeting, 9 June 1981

1. The 14th meeting of the DCI Committee on Compartmentation was held on 9 June 1981. The following attended:

COCOMP	 Chairman
Army	Walter A. Mestre
CIA	
NSA	
SIGINT	
CIA/Security	
SAFSS	
USAF	Roger D. Smith
DIA	
FBI	James L. Butts
State	Donald W. Stigers
COMIREX	
COMIREX	
SIGINT	
Staff	
Staff	

2. The Chairman opened the meeting with a discussion on the minutes of the 4 June meeting. questioned comment in the fifth sentence of paragraph 7 regarding the 100 people required to sanitize and decompartment Imagery. It was agreed that this figure is incorrect and the Chairman concurred in deleting the entire sentence. Following this meeting another change in paragraph 7 was requested (via telephone) by Mr. Kelly concerning the second sentence. He requested that it be changed to read, "At this point, the Navy and State Department members expressed their agreement with the Air Force proposal brought forth by . The Army member stated that his position was in essence the same as the earlier stated Air Force position reflected in paragraph 4." He commented that Army did not arrive at this position during the 4 June meeting but came to the meeting with a definite position.

OS 1 1270

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

3. At the Chairman's request, [] reported on the Imagery issue meeting held at CIA Headquarters on 5 June. He stated that the COCOMP members' technical representatives from DIA, COMIREX, Air Force and Army discussed the issue and the questions raised at the 4 June COCOMP meeting. Another draft paper has been prepared and distributed to this technical group. Changes will be accommodated, incorporated, and forwarded to COCOMP members for review at the 16 June meeting. []

25X1

25X1

4. Discussion followed on the final paper prepared by the Chairman of the Working Group on General Accesses (Issue #4) which was handed out at the 4 June meeting. [] stated that the "Umbrella" Working Group reached its decision after five meetings and asked the COCOMP members if there was anyone who had not represented his agency correctly. He pointed out that there are two options available: 1) Inform the DDCI that COCOMP is unable to resolve the issue and let it die; 2) refuse to accept the death and send it back to the Working Group. In answer to the Chairman's question, "What do you want to do?" [] stated that DIA would go with the status quo. Army and Air Force representatives agreed also. [] commented that the advantages of Phase I general accesses did not come through clearly in the paper. The Chairman responded that the paper was fairly explicit, but the advantages are not very great; however, there are some. In response to a suggestion that the issue be referred to SECOM, the Chairman stated there's not much point to it. [] commented that the Working Group votes do not support the point that the issue is between collectors and consumers. Additional comments followed on the subject of DCID 1/14 requirements vis-a-vis ARFCOS and diplomatic couriers. [] remarked that formulation of the issue is so muddled, it can't be resolved. The discussion shifted to access to gray and green telephones, and [] asked why create this as an unsolvable problem. Mr. Mestre stated that many Working Group representatives favored the Phase I general approvals. [] commented that Phase I general approvals will not buy anything for SECOM; therefore, he voted for the status quo. Several members offered their opinions on how much information couriers need to know, how briefings should be carried out, and what the procedures would be for inadvertent disclosure of SCI information. [] commented that it is a frustrating issue and that NSA would prohibit Phase I general approvals as described in the paper. The Chairman again asked the members if they wish to express any thoughts on getting rid of this issue or whether further action is necessary by the Working Group. Mr. Stigers said that State would like a Phase I general approval. Mr. [] and said commonality between systems would be desirable. [] added that since COCOMP has failed to develop a majority, further work needs to be done. Further comments followed on the definition of a consensus and the gray or green phone problems. The Chairman then asked if there were any further comments. There were none except

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

CONFIDENTIAL

25X1 for Mr. Smith's question as to the estimated number of people involved
in Phase I general approvals. Mr. Mestre said approximately 2000 out of
28,000 in the Army. [] said this is a small number, and Mr.
Smith questioned whether it would be worth the effort. The Chairman
then tabled a draft memorandum to the DDCI on the future efforts at
developing an "umbrella" access. Comments on the draft concerned
whether the Community was split on producer/user lines. Discussion was
concluded. []

25X1 5. The Chairman brought up the SISR, Part II. He asked Mr.
[] to report on the progress of the manual. [] said there
were a few complications, but most comments from the members were well
done. He reviewed some of the major points and recommended that the
document go to NFIB with the footnote, although State Department had a
disagreement. He mentioned the Navy response concerning the Coast Guard
and discussed resolution of the CIA/Navy position. The Chairman read
Admiral Shapiro's brief comment on this issue and remarked that there is
a bundle of Coast Guard requirements that have nothing to do with wartime.
The Chairman suggested that CIA/NFAC and Security get together with Navy
on this matter. [] said he did not want to wait for this point
to be resolved but could footnote it. [] stated that the manual
should provide a mechanism for those with only one SCI access. []
indicated this was an important point. The Chairman remarked that com-
pletion of the basic manual should not be hung up on the problem of one
access. Others voiced the opinion that those reading the manual would
have both SCI accesses required. [] went on to say that the
responses from U&S Commands were well thought out, well presented,
supportive, and had no great issues. He said the same was true of most
DIA and NSA comments. He asked the members if there were any other
major issues, and if not, he plans to proceed and send it to the DDCI.
The Chairman asked what kind of schedule can be met. [] re-
sponded that about a week or two would be required. []

25X1 6. The Chairman stated that today's agenda was completed and he
asked if there were any other matters to be considered. [] asked
about the status of the Congressional Access Paper (paragraph 109). The
Chairman said he has stayed away from it for two weeks but will look
into it again with the Office of Legislative Counsel and get back to the
members. []

25X1 7. The meeting was adjourned at 11:05 a.m. []

CONFIDENTIAL

SUBJECT: DCI Committee on Compartmentation Meeting, 9 June 1981

SA/DCI/C [redacted] jcs (11 June 1981)

Distribution:

Orig - SA/DCI/C File

1 - SA/DCI/C Chrono

1 - William P. Deary, INR/State

1 - Donald W. Stigers, INR/State

1 - Richard L. Welch, Navy

1 - Maynard Anderson, OSD

1 - [redacted]

1 - Merrill T. Kelly, ACSI

1 - Col. Robert A. Shiver, USAF

1 - [redacted]

1 - James L. Butts, FBI

1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

✓ 1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

1 - [redacted]

1 - Executive Registry

Committee

rity

CONFIDENTIAL